



Aggression

Updated: April 2012

Topic Editor:

Richard E. Tremblay, PhD, Université de Montréal, Canada and University College Dublin, Ireland

Table of contents

Synthesis	4
The Development of Physical Aggression RICHARD E. TREMBLAY, PHD, FRSC, OQ, JANUARY 2012	7
Development of Indirect Aggression Before School Entry MARA BRENDGEN, PHD, FEBRUARY 2012	10
Sex Differences in the Development of Aggression From Early Childhood to Adulthood JOHN ARCHER, PHD, FBPS, JANUARY 2012	16
Development of Physical Aggression from Early Childhood to Adulthood KATE KEENAN, PHD, JANUARY 2012	20
Best Practices in the Development of Effortful Control in Early Childhood M. ROSARIO RUEDA, PHD, LINA M. CÓMBITA, MA, JANUARY 2012	24
Effective Daycare-Kindergarten Interventions to Prevent Chronic Aggression JOHN E. LOCHMAN, PHD, ABPP, CAROLINE BOXMEYER, PHD, NICOLE POWELL, PHD, ALBERTO JIMENEZ-CAMARGO, PHD STUDENT, JANUARY 2012	29
Play-Fighting During Early Childhood and its Role in Preventing Later Chronic Aggression SERGIO M. PELLIS, PHD, VIVIEN C. PELLIS, PHD, JANUARY 2012	35

Topic funded by



Synthesis

How important is it?

Most parents of first born are shocked and understandably worried when they see their infant child attempt to hit them when angry. Very few parents expecting their first child know that beautiful young babies express anger with hits and kicks long before they learn to walk. The frequency of physical aggressions increases with age over the first three to four years after birth. Physical aggression is the leading problem in child care centres and the leading reason why preschool children with behaviour problems are referred for clinical help. However, persistent physical aggression usually does not happen in isolation; it frequently co-occurs with other developmental problems like emotion dysregulation, impulsivity, inattention, and delays in language and communication skills. Studies that followed large cohorts of children over many years showed that persistent physical aggression increased the risk for later juvenile delinquency and adult violence.

What do we know?

Most children start using physical aggression between one and two years of age as a response to frustration and as a means to reach a goal. The first aggressive acts displayed with peers are often tugging at another child's toy, soon followed by hitting. Physical aggression tends to increase in frequency until 30 to 42 months of age and then declines when children develop the ability to regulate their attention and emotions, control their impulses and use verbal communication to resolve conflicts and express needs. There are important differences between individual children in the early display of aggressive behaviour: a majority of children will act aggressively occasionally, a minority will display little or no aggression, and about 5% to 10% of children, mostly boys, will frequently use physical aggression. These children are at greater risk of chronic aggression into late childhood, adolescence and adulthood. Studies showed that chronic physical aggression is associated with social factors, namely mothers' young age at first delivery, low education, history of behaviour problems, smoking during pregnancy, and low income. Inadequate parenting, conflict in the house, and parental mental health and substance abuse issues are also associated with children's chronic physical aggression. The consequences of aggressive acts become more serious with age as children become stronger and are less supervised. Chronic physical aggression is a serious social concern because of its individual and social costs.

Sex differences in frequency and level of physical aggression have been consistently reported. Scientists have proposed both social and biological explanations for this difference. Higher levels of physical aggression for boys have been reported by mothers from 17 months of age. Sex differences in aggression therefore appear before they could be extensively affected by socialization. Even though most children show a decrease in the frequency of physical aggression as they grow up, girls tend to reduce their aggression earlier, and the sex differences tend to stay stable through childhood and adolescence.

As physical aggression declines, face-to-face verbal aggression increases, followed by social and relational aggression around 4-5 years of age. The goal of relational aggression is to harm a person's social relationships

and self-esteem, for example by becoming friend with someone else in revenge. It includes non-verbal behaviours such as disdainful facial expressions and direct expressions of rejection. These forms of aggression are slightly more common in girls but are also used by boys, sometimes in conjunction with direct verbal and physical aggression. Both physically and relationally aggressive children tend to lack empathy and attribute hostile intentions to others, but perpetrators of relational aggression are likely to have advanced language skills, contrary to physically aggressive children. Indirect forms of aggression may cause as much pain in victims as physical aggression but perpetrators are less likely to face disapproval by adults and peers. A better understanding of the development of aggressive behaviours should help to establish effective prevention programs.

What can be done?

Most children will show physically aggressive behaviour occasionally, and then learn other means of expressing emotions and solving conflicts. A minority of children will not. Interventions at an early age aimed at helping these children to learn adequate behaviour and emotional responses are warranted.

Intervention can address the at-risk child's developmental deficits directly (e.g., improving emotion regulation skills) or indirectly by changing the child's environment (for example by providing parental training). Targeted programs combining parent and child intervention in the preschool years have resulted in improved parenting and decrease in children's negative behaviour. Interventions can be universal (offered to all children - e.g., a whole child care or kindergarten group) or target specific problems and the children who have them. Prenatal to toddlerhood home visits to support at risk families have been shown to reduce later behaviour problems. Universal programs in preschool can improve children's emotion regulation and reduce later aggression. A multimodal intervention for aggressive boys in kindergarten was shown to improve high-school graduation and reduce criminality 15 years later.

Targets for intervention

Development of effortful control in early childhood is critical for the reduction of aggressive behaviours and impulses. Effortful control refers to the voluntary regulation of attention and behaviour, including inhibition of undesirable behaviour and activation of appropriate behaviour. It is linked to the development of conscience, empathy, and internalization of social norms. Poor effortful control is associated with reactive aggression, that is, emotionally-driven reaction rather than unprovoked aggression, and with externalized behaviour problems. Warm, positive parenting can help reduce behaviour problems but the effect of parental behaviour is facilitated by children's effortful control. Interventions can address children's problem-solving strategies, support gentle parental discipline, and foster supportive teaching.

Young children learn self-control, reciprocity and adequate behaviour in part through play with peers, specifically play that demands turn-taking, negotiation, shift in control and restraint like rough-and-tumble play. Studies in other mammals (rats and apes) showed that rough-and-tumble play is critical for the development of the brain area responsible for executive control. One consequence of lacking the opportunity to play in these animals is misreading social signals that could prevent an encounter from escalating into aggression. Human children who engage in rough-and-tumble play show better social skills and play with peer is facilitated by a positive previous experience of playing with parents. This suggest that encouraging play could help children

develop the abilities that will help them control their aggressive impulses and assess correctly their peers' reactions during interactions. When rough-and-tumble play is not socially acceptable, peer-play with similar properties (turn-taking, shift in control, self-restraint) should be encouraged.

Regarding indirect aggression, it should be recognized that social and relational aggression are seriously harmful behaviours perpetrated by both boys and girls. Intervention could start in preschool and preferably involve parents and teachers. The goals would be to teach how to deal with relational aggression, as well as strategies for relationship building and problem solving.

Whatever the strategy, several keys to successful intervention targeting aggression in preschool children are proposed:

- 1. Intervention should include parents;
- 2. Intervention must be flexible yet faithful to protocol;
- 3. Parental intervention should address both parenting behaviour and parents' knowledge of child development;
- 4. Schools/centres should plan strategies to engage parents in intervention; needs for staff training must be realistically assessed.

The Development of Physical Aggression

Richard E. Tremblay, PhD, FRSC, OQ

University College Dublin, Ireland and University of Montreal, Canada January 2012, 2nd rev. ed.

Introduction

Physical violence exhibited by adolescents and young adults is a major concern in all modern societies. Indeed, the risk of being arrested and found guilty of criminal behaviour is higher during late adolescence and early adulthood than at any other point in life. Over the past 40 years, hundreds of studies have attempted to shed more light on how playful children become violent juvenile delinquents. Poor parental supervision, family breakup, negative peer influences and poverty have all been shown to be associated with violent juvenile delinquency. Males account for the majority of arrests made for violent crimes. The principal explanation for violent behaviour has long been the following: "aggressive and violent behaviours are learned responses to frustration, they can also be learned as instruments for achieving goals, and the learning occurs by observing models of such behaviour. Such models may be observed in the family, among peers, elsewhere in the neighbourhood, through the mass media, or in violent pornography."

Recent Research Results

Although most research on aggression tend to focus on adolescents and adults, longitudinal studies using large random samples of new born started to follow the development of physical aggression from infancy approximately 15 years ago. These studies have now shown that most children start to use physical aggression between the end of the first and second year after birth. However, there are major differences in the frequency of physical aggression among infants as well as among toddlers. A majority of children make occasional use of physical aggression, a minority use physical aggression much less often than the majority, while another minority make much more frequent use of physical aggression than the majority. Preschool children who are referred to clinics for behaviour problems are generally referred for physically aggressive behaviours.

Available data on the development of physical aggression during the preschool years have shown that the frequency of physical aggression use increases during the first 30 to 42 months after birth and then decreases steadily. ⁶⁻⁸ Fewer girls than boys reach the highest frequency levels, and girls tend to reduce the frequency of their aggression earlier in life. ¹⁰ Further, longitudinal studies up to adolescence show that preschool is a sensitive period for learning to regulate physical aggression. Indeed, the minority of elementary school children (5% to 10%) who continue to show high levels of physical aggression remain at greatest risk of engaging in physically violent behaviour during adolescence. ^{11,12}

Interestingly, while the frequency of physical aggression was found to decrease from the third or fourth year after birth, the frequency of indirect aggression (making disparaging remarks about another person behind his or her back) increases substantially from 4 to 7 years of age, and girls tended to use this form of aggression

more frequently than did boys. 13,14

The main risk factors for women to have children with serious physical aggression problems are the following: a low education level, a history of behaviour problems, first delivery at a young age, smoking during pregnancy, and low income. Study of large samples of twins also points to genetic effects. To

Conclusions

Contrary to traditional belief, children do not need to observe models of physical aggression to initiate the use of physical aggression. In 1972, Donald Hebb, a father of modern psychology, wrote that children did not need to learn how to have a temper tantrum. In his 1979 book on social development, Robert Cairns reminded human development students that the most aggressive animals were those that had been isolated from the time they were born. Indeed, like other animals, human infants spontaneously use physical aggression when strongly driven to achieve their goals, for example when they are angry or when they strongly desire an object in the possession of someone else. Thus, the studies on the frequency of physical aggressions during the early childhood years indicate that children do not need to learn to use physical aggression from their environment; they rather learn not to use physical aggression. This learning occurs through various forms of interactions with their environment, such as being hurt in an attempt to aggress someone and being reprimanded by adults, but also through play-fighting and indirect aggression.

Although recent research on the development of aggression during early childhood has substantially increased our understanding of the life-span development of aggression, we still have not adequately elucidated the mechanisms that explain why some infants are more physically aggressive than others, why some engage in very little physical aggression, why girls tend to engage in physical aggression less often than boys, why most children learn alternatives to physical aggression before they enter school while a minority do not.

Service and Policy Implications

The research summarized above has important implications for the prevention of physical aggression. First, early childhood is probably the best window of opportunity for helping children at risk of becoming chronic physical aggressors because most children learn alternatives to physical aggression during that period. To achieve this aim we probably need intensive support to high-risk families starting during pregnancy. Second, since most humans have used physical aggression during early childhood, most are at risk of using it again if they find themselves in a situation where they do not see a satisfactory alternative. This would explain why many violent crimes are committed by individuals who do not have a history of chronic physical aggression, and why so many conflicts among families, ethnic groups, religious groups, socioeconomic classes and nations lead to physical aggression. Thus, we need policies that reduce to their minimum the situations which create conflicts among citizens of all ages.

- 1. McCord J, Widom CS, Crowell NA, eds. Juvenile crime, juvenile justice. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- Lipsey MW, Derzon JH. Predictors of violent or serious delinquency in adolescence and early adulthood: a synthesis of longitudinal research. In: Loeber R, Farrington DP, eds. Serious and violent juvenile offenders: risk factors and successful interventions. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1998:86-105.
- 3. Reiss AJ Jr, Roth JA, eds. National Research Council (U.S.). Panel on the Understanding and Control of Violent Behavior. Understanding and preventing violence

- . Vol 1. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1993:7.
- 4. Tremblay RE, Japel C, Pérusse D, McDuff P, Boivin M, Zoccolillo M, Montplaisir J. The search for the age of "onset" of physical aggression: Rousseau and Bandura revisited. *Criminal Behavior and Mental Health* 1999;9(1):8-23.
- 5. Alink LRA, Mesman J, Van Zeijil J, Stolk MN, Juffer F, Koot HM, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Van IJzendoorn MH. The early childhood aggression curve: Development of physical aggression in 10-to50-month-old children. *Child Development* 2006;77(4):954-966.
- 6. Côté S, Vaillancourt T, Leblanc JC, Nagin DS, Tremblay RE. The development of physical aggression from toddlerhood to pre-adolescence: A nation wide longitudinal study of Canadian children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 2006;34(1):68-82.
- 7. NICHD Early Child Care Research Network (2004). Trajectories of physical aggression from toddlerhood to middle school: predictors, correlates, and outcomes. SRCD Monographs 69(4, 278): 1-146.
- 8. Tremblay RE, Nagin DS, Séguin JR, Zoccolillo M, Zelazo PD, Boivin M, Pérusse D, Japel C. Physical aggression during early childhood: Trajectories and predictors. *Pediatrics* 2004;114(1):e43-e50.
- 9. Keenan K, Wakschlag LS. More than the terrible twos: The nature and severity of behavior problems in clinic-referred preschool children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 2000;28(1):33-46.
- 10. Côté SM. Sex differences in physical and indirect aggression: A developmental perspective. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research 2007;13(3-4):183-200.
- 11. Broidy LM, Nagin DS, Tremblay RE, Brame B, Dodge K, Fergusson D, Horwood J, Loeber R, Laird R, Lynam D, Moffitt T, Bates JE, Pettit GS, Vitaro F. Developmental trajectories of childhood disruptive behaviors and adolescent delinquency: a six-site, cross-national study. *Developmental Psychology* 2003;39(2):222-245.
- 12. Nagin D, Tremblay RE. Trajectories of boys' physical aggression, opposition, and hyperactivity on the path to physically violent and nonviolent juvenile delinquency. *Child Development* 1999;70(5):1181-1196.
- 13. Côté SM, Vaillancourt T, Barker ED, Nagin DS, Tremblay RE. The joint development of physical and indirect aggression: Predictors of continuity and change during childhood. *Developmental Psychopathology* 2007;19(1):37-55.
- 14. Brendgen M. Development of indirect aggression before school entry. Tremblay RE, topic ed. In: Tremblay RE, Boivin M, Peters RDeV, eds. Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2012:1-5. Available at: http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/BrendgenANGxp1.pdf. Accessed February 1st, 2012.
- 15. Nagin DS, Tremblay RE. Parental and early childhood predictors of persistent physical aggression in boys from kindergarten to high school. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;58(4):389-394.
- 16. Keenan K, Shaw DS. The development of aggression in toddlers: a study of low- income families. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 1994;22(1):53-77.
- 17. Dionne G, Tremblay RE, Boivin M, Laplante D, Pérusse D. Physical aggression and expressive vocabulary in 19 month-old twins. Developmental Psychology 2003;39(2):261-273.Hebb DO. A textbook of psychology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders; 1972. Cairns RB. Social development: the origins and plasticity of interchanges. San Francisco, CA: WH Freeman & Co; 1979.
- 18. Lewis M, Alessandri SM, Sullivan MW. Violation of expectancy, loss of control, and anger expressions in young infants. *Developmental Psychology* 1990;26(5):745-751.
- 19. Côté SM, Boivin M, Daniel DS, Japel C, Xu Q, Zoccolillo M, Junger M, Tremblay RE. The role of maternal education and nonmaternal care services in the prevention of children's physical aggression problems. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2007;64(11):1305-1312.
- 20. Olds D, Henderson CR, Cole R, Eckenrode J, Kitzman H, Luckey D, Pettitt L, Sidora K, Morris P, Powers J. Long-term effects of nurse home visitation on children's criminal and antisocial behavior: Fifteen-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA-Journal of the American Medical Association* 1998;280(14):1238-1244.
- 21. Pellis SM, Pellis VC. Play-fighting during early childhood and its role in preventing later chronic aggression. Tremblay RE, topic ed. In: Tremblay RE, Boivin M, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2012:1-4. Available at: http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/PellisANGxp1.pdf. Accessed January 23, 2012.
- 22. Schweinhart L, Xiang Z. Evidence that the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program prevents adult crime. Paper presented at: The 2003 American Society of Criminology Conference. November, 2003; Denver, CO.

Development of Indirect Aggression Before School Entry

Mara Brendgen, PhD
Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada
February 2012

Introduction

Attempts to understand and prevent childhood aggression have been predominantly guided by a male-oriented model with a focus on physical aggression. Children can also hurt their peers in more subtle ways, however, for example through social exclusion or rumor spreading. These forms of aggression are as harmful and elicit the same physiological pain responses as physical aggression. Indeed, relational aggression has been shown to have a range of negative and potentially long-lasting effects on the victims, including school avoidance, somatic complaints, anxiety, depression and even suicide ideation.

Subject

Different labels have been used to describe these more subtle forms of aggression. Relational aggression, consists of a set of circuitous strategies that implicate peers as a means to sabotage the victim's social relationships and self-esteem, for example, through slanderous rumors or by becoming friends with another as revenge. The indirect nature of the aggressive act often enables the aggressor to remain unidentified, thereby avoiding a counterattack from the victim and disapproval from other peers or adults. Social aggression and relational aggression also encompass directly expressed rejection of the victim and non-verbal behaviours such as facial expressions of disdain. Despite the slight differences, all these terms describe highly related constructs.

Problems

It has been argued that relational aggression is more typical of girls. However, a recent meta-analysis of 148 studies shows that, while boys are consistently more physically aggressive than girls, gender differences with regard to relational aggression are minimal regardless of children's age and ethnicity. It thus seems that both girls and boys employ circuitous strategies as a means to attack others. Indeed, many aggressive children use both forms of aggression. Nevertheless, studies have consistently revealed that physical and relational aggression constitute two forms of aggression that are clearly distinguishable already in preschool-aged children. Also aggression that are clearly distinguishable already in preschool-aged children.

Research Context

The recognition that aggression can be expressed through different means is strengthened further by the fact

that physical aggression diminishes for most children from early childhood onwards, whereas social aggression increases. Moreover, many physically aggressive children increase their use of relational aggression over time, whereas the reverse does not seem to be the case. These diverging developmental trajectories correspond with the theoretical model of aggression proposed by Bjoerkqvist and colleagues. According to this model, very young children aggress against others primarily through physical means due to a lack of other expressive tools. As verbal and social cognitive skills evolve, children begin to use verbal aggression and, at around 4 to 5 years of age, add relational aggression to their repertoire. Because relational aggression can be as damaging as physical aggression with much less risk of retribution, relational aggression eventually becomes the primary strategy.

Key Research Questions

The different developmental trajectories have highlighted the need for a better understanding of the risk factors and potential developmental outcomes associated with relational aggression and how they compare to those of physical aggression.

Recent Research Results

Genetically-informed studies support the proposition of Bjoerkqvist and colleagues that, despite their diverging developmental trends, physical and relational aggression have common roots. This is evidenced in recent findings that indirect and physical aggression are to a large extent influenced by the same underlying genetic factors. 4-26 Moreover, both relational aggression and physical aggression have been linked with harsh and overly-controlling parenting and a lack of parental warmth and positive encouragement during the preschool years. 3,27,28 There is also evidence that indirectly and physically aggressive children share certain cognitive patterns such as the attribution of hostile intent to others and a lack of empathy. 29,30 Associations with other aspects of social cognitive functioning seem to differ, however. Contrary to predominantly physically aggressive children, indirectly aggressive children often show advanced language abilities, know how to persuade others to do their bidding, and are highly capable of predicting another person's thoughts and actions already prior to entering kindergarten. 30-33 The most pronounced differences between indirect and physical aggression lie in their social environmental correlates and outcomes, however. ²⁴⁻²⁶ In contrast to physical aggression, the frequent use of relational aggression is generally not related to social difficulties with the peer group. Despite or perhaps because of – their manipulation of others, indirectly aggressive children have very close, albeit perhaps sometimes short-lived, friendships. 18,34 Moreover, although they may not be liked by many of their peers, they often hold a prominent and influential place in the group. 35,36 It is thus not surprising that indirectly aggressive children are at a rather low risk of developing some of the later adjustment problems commonly associated with physical aggression, such as school difficulties or delinquency. 16,37,38 Compared to physical aggression, however, relational aggression is related to more internalizing problems such as feelings of loneliness, anxiety and depression. 16

Research Gaps

While the past two decades have seen a sharp increase of research on relational aggression, comparatively few studies have focused on the preschool period (i.e., before age 6). A particular concern is that the reported links between parenting behaviour and early relational aggression rest on cross-sectional data. It is thus unclear

whether parents' behaviour is a precursor or a reaction to the child's relational aggression. Moreover, a metaanalysis shows that associations of parental behaviour with relational aggression are rather small.³⁹ It is possible that parents' behaviour affects children's relational aggression more indirectly, through its impact on children's cognitions and attitudes towards relational aggression. Alternatively, parents' behaviour may foster the use of relational aggression only in some children but not in others. Further research is needed to understand how parents, but also other adults such as child care providers and teachers, may facilitate the early development of relational aggression.

Conclusions

Despite the current research gaps, it is safe to say that relational aggression first appears in children's behavioural repertoire at about four years of age and is observed in both genders. Relational aggression and physical aggression seem to have some common etiological roots and especially younger children often use both behaviours to hurt others. However, whereas physical aggression decreases in most children over the course of development, relational aggression tends to increase. This increase may in large part be due to the fact that relational aggression often enables the perpetrator to do considerable damage with a relatively low risk of detection and punishment. Relational aggression is therefore also used by children with advanced cognitive and language skills. The use of relational aggression may be further facilitated by the fact that it does not seem to be related to the same array of future adjustment problems as physical aggression, although more research is needed in this regard.

Implications for Parents, Services and Policy

While relational aggression may not always entail negative consequences for the perpetrator, it clearly presents a serious risk for the mental and physical health of the victims. However, evidence suggests that adults feel less negative toward, and are less likely to intervene against, children's use of relational aggression compared to physical aggression. 40-42 A first step to prevention is thus to dispel the myth that relational aggression is an exclusively female or relatively benign behaviour. 43 It is also important to acknowledge that not all aggressive behaviours result from deficient socio-cognitive skills, but that it is sometimes highly socially, intelligent children who use their abilities to attack others. Efforts to reduce relational aggression therefore need to target all children and multi-component programs that also include teachers and parents show the most promise so far. 44 These programs incorporate several sessions that focus specifically on how to recognize and deal with relational aggression and they also teach prosocial strategies to build relationships and resolve interpersonal conflicts with peers. Unfortunately, with one exception, ⁴⁵ prevention programs that target relational aggression have so far targeted children older than 5 years of age. 44 However, given that relational aggression emerges at 4 years of age, prevention efforts may need to start in the early preschool period and preliminary evidence indeed suggests that an early day-care based program with 3- to 5-year old children can successfully reduce not only physical aggression but also relational aggression. 45 Nevertheless, even the most comprehensive programs are likely to fail unless they are sustained over an extended period of time 44 and more research is needed to evaluate the sustainability of such effects.

Finally, concerted efforts to reduce relational aggression may need to extend beyond the school or family context. Many films that are considered nonviolent contain a large extent of relational aggression, something that is already apparent in the animated movies popular among preschoolers.⁴⁶ Because viewing relational

aggression in the media has been causally linked to the increased use of such behaviour in children, ⁴⁷ some researchers have called for a modification of the current rating system of media content for parental guidance. ⁴⁸ Only with a greater awareness of the potential dangers of relational aggression in a variety of contexts can we hope to prevent the negative repercussions for its victims.

- 1. Bjoerkqvist K, Lagerspetz KMJ, Kaukiainen A. Do girls manipulate and boys fight? Developmental trends in regard to direct and indirect aggression. *Aggressive Behavior* 1992;18:117-127.
- 2. Crick NR, Casas JF, Mosher M. Relational and overt aggression in preschool. Developmental Psychology. 1997;33:579-588.
- 3. Hart CH, Nelson DA, Robinson CC, Olsen S, McNeilly-Choque MK. Overt and relational aggression in Russian nursery-school-age children: Parenting style and marital linkages. *Developmental Psychology*. 1998;34:687-697.
- 4. Willoughby M, Kupersmidt J, Bryant D. Overt and covert dimensions of antisocial behavior in early childhood. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2001;29:177-187.
- 5. Crick NR, Grotpeter JK. Children's Treatment by Peers: Victims of Relational and Overt Aggression. *Development and Psychopathology*. 1996;8:367–380.
- Paquette JA, Underwood MK. Gender differences in young adolescents' experiences of peer victimization: Social and physical aggression. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly. 1999;45:242-266.
- 7. Eisenberger NI, Lieberman MD, Williams KD. Does Rejection Hurt? An fMRI Study of Social Exclusion. Science. 2003;302(5643):290-292.
- 8. Owens L, Slee P, Shute R. 'It hurts a hell of a lot . . .': The effects of indirect aggression on teenage girls. *School Psychology International.* 2000:21:359-376
- 9. Van der Wal MF, De Wit CAM, Hirasing RA. Psychosocial health among young victims and offenders of direct and indirect bullying. Pediatrics. 2003;111(6 I):1312-1317.
- 10. Nixon CL, Linkie CA, Coleman PK, Fitch C. Peer relational victimization and somatic complaints during adolescence. *Journal of adolescent health.* 2011;49(3):294-299.
- 11. Kochenderfer BJ, Ladd GW. Peer victimization: Cause or consequence of school maladjustment? Child development. 1996;67(4):1305-1317.
- 12. Galen BR, Underwood MK. A developmental investigation of social aggression among children. *Developmental Psychology*. 1997;33(4):589-600
- 13. Crick NR, Grotpeter JK. Relational aggression, gender, and social-psychological adjustment. Child Development. 1995;66:710-722.
- 14. Archer J, Coyne SM. An Integrated Review of Indirect, Relational, and Social Aggression. *Personality and Social Psychology Review.*August 1, 2005 2005;9(3):212-230.
- 15. Crick NR. Engagement in Gender Normative Versus Nonnormative Forms of Aggression: Links to Social–Psychological Adjustment. Developmental Psychology. 1997;33(4):610-617.
- 16. Card NA, Stucky BD, Sawalani GM, Little TD. Direct and Indirect Aggression During Childhood and Adolescence: A Meta-Analytic Review of Gender Differences, Intercorrelations, and Relations to Maladjustment. *Child Development*. 2008;79(5):1185-1229.
- 17. Vaillancourt T, Brendgen M, Boivin M, Tremblay RE. A Longitudinal Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Indirect And Physical Aggression: Evidence of Two Factors Over Time? *Child Development.* 2003;74:1628-1638.
- 18. Grotpeter JK, Crick NR. Relational aggression, overt aggression, and friendship. Child Development. 1996;67(5):2328-2338.
- 19. Little TD, Jones SM, Henrich CC, Hawley PH. Disentangling the "Whys" from the "Whats" of Aggressive Behavior. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*. 2003;27:122-133.
- 20. Vaillancourt T, Miller JL, Fagbemi J, Côté S, Tremblay RE. Trajectories and predictors of indirect aggression: results from a nationally representative longitudinal study of Canadian children aged 2–10. *Aggressive Behavior*. 2007;33(4):314-326.
- 21. Côté S, Vaillancourt T, LeBlanc J, Nagin D, Tremblay R. The Development of Physical Aggression from Toddlerhood to Pre-Adolescence: A Nation Wide Longitudinal Study of Canadian Children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2006;34(1):68-82.
- 22. Tremblay RE. When children's social development fails In: Keating DP, Hertzman C, eds. Developmental health and the wealth of nations:

- Social, biological, and educational dynamics. New York: Guilford Press; 1999: 55-71.
- 23. Miller JL, Vaillancourt T, Boyle MH. Examining the Heterotypic Continuity of Aggression Using Teacher Reports: Results from a National Canadian Study. Social Development. 2009;18(1):164-180.
- 24. Brendgen M, Dionne G, Girard A, Boivin M, Vitaro F, Pérusse D. Examining Genetic and Environmental Effects on Social Aggression: A Study of 6-Year-Old Twins. *Child development*. 2005;76(4):930-946.
- 25. Vitaro F, Brendgen, M., & Tremblay, R. E. (2001). Preventive intervention: Assessing its effects on the trajectories of delinquency and testing for mediational processes. *Applied Developmental Science*, 5, 201-213.
- Tackett JL. Etiology and Measurement of Relational Aggression: A Multi-Informant Behavior Genetic Investigation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2009;118(4):722-733.
- 27. Park JH, Essex MJ, Zahn-Waxler C, Armstrong JM, Klein MH, Goldsmith HH. Relational and overt aggression in middle childhood: Early child and family risk factors. *Early Education and Development*. 2005;16(2):233-257.
- 28. McNamara KA, Selig JP, Hawley PH. A typological approach to the study of parenting: Associations between maternal parenting patterns and child behaviour and social reception. *Early Child Development and Care*. 2010;180(9):1185-1202.
- 29. Crick NR, Grotpeter JK, Bigbee MA. Relationally and Physically Aggressive Children's Intent Attributions and Feelings of Distress for Relational and Instrumental Peer Provocations. *Child Development*. 2002;73(4):1134-1142.
- 30. Kaukiainen A, Björkqvist K, Lagerspetz K, et al. The relationships between social intelligence, empathy, and three types of aggression. Aggressive behavior. 1999;25(2):81-89.
- 31. Renouf A, Brendgen M, Parent S, et al. Relations between theory of mind and indirect and physical aggression in kindergarten: evidence of the moderating role of prosocial behaviors. *Social Development*. 2010;19(3):535-555.
- 32. Bonica C, Arnold DH, Fisher PH, Zeljo A, Yershova K. Relational Aggression, Relational Victimization, and Language Development in Preschoolers. *Social Development*. 2003;12(4):551-562.
- 33. Andreou E. Social preference, perceived popularity and social intelligence: Relations to overt and relational aggression. *School Psychology International*. 2006;27(3):339-351.
- 34. Johnson DR, Foster SL. The Relationship between Relational Aggression in Kindergarten Children and Friendship Stability, Mutuality, and Peer Liking. Early Education and Development. Special Issue: Relational Aggression During Early Childhood 2005;16(2):141-160.
- 35. Neal JW. Social aggression and social position in middle childhood and early adolescence: Burning bridges or building them? Journal of Early Adolescence. 2010;30(1):122-137.
- 36. Heilbron N, Prinstein M. A Review and Reconceptualization of Social Aggression: Adaptive and Maladaptive Correlates. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*. 2008;11(4):176-217.
- 37. Woods S, Wolke D. Direct and relational bullying among primary school children and academic achievement. *Journal of School Psychology* .42(2):135-155.
- 38. Herrenkohl TI, Catalano RF, Hemphill S, Toumbourou JW. Longitudinal examination of physical and relational aggression as precursors to later problem behaviors in adolescents. *Violence and Victims*. 2009;24(1):3-19.
- Kawabata Y, Alink LRA, Tseng WL, van Ijzendoorn MH, Crick NR. Maternal and paternal parenting styles associated with relational aggression in children and adolescents: A conceptual analysis and meta-analytic review. Developmental Review. 2011;31(4):240-278.
- 40. Colwell MJ, Mize J, Pettit GS, Laird RD. Contextual determinants of mothers' interventions in young children's peer interactions. *Developmental Psychology*. 2002;38:492–502.
- 41. Werner NE, Senich S, Przepyszny KA. Mothers' responses to preschoolers' relational and physical aggression. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2006;27(3):193-208.
- 42. Valles NL, Knutson JF. Contingent responses of mothers and peers to indirect and direct aggression in preschool and school-aged children. *Aggressive behavior.* 2008;34(5):497-510.
- 43. Merrell KW, Buchanan R, Tran OK. Relational aggression in children and adolescents: A review with implications for school settings. *Psychology in the Schools*. 2006;43(3):345-360.
- 44. Leadbeater B. Can We See It? Can We Stop It? Lessons Learned From Community--University Research Collaborations About Relational Aggression. *School Psychology Review.* 2010;39(4):588-593.
- 45. Ostrov JM, Massetti GM, Stauffacher K, et al. An intervention for relational and physical aggression in early childhood: A preliminary study. Early Childhood Research Quarterly.

2009;24(1):15-28.

- 46. Coyne SM, Whitehead E. Indirect aggression in animated disney films. Journal of Communication. 2008;58(2):382-395.
- 47. Coyne SM, Archer J, Eslea M. Cruel intentions on television and in real life: Can viewing indirect aggression increase viewers' subsequent indirect aggression? *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology.* 2004;88(3):234-253.
- 48. Coyne SM. Indirect aggression on screen: A hidden problem? Psychologist. 2004;17(12):688-690.
- 49. Linder JR, Gentile DA. Is the television rating system valid? Indirect, verbal, and physical aggression in programs viewed by fifth grade girls and associations with behavior. *Journal of applied developmental psychology.* 2009;30(3):286-297.

Sex Differences in the Development of Aggression From Early Childhood to Adulthood

John Archer, PhD, FBPS
University of Central Lancashire, United Kingdom
January 2012

Introduction

Sex differences in aggression are of considerable practical importance in view of the societal problems caused by violent behaviour, and the consistent finding that these mainly involve young men.¹⁻⁵ Their significance is subject to considerable debate between biologically-oriented and socially-oriented scientists.⁶⁻⁹

Subject

The topic is the origin and subsequent development of sex differences in aggression, their various forms and individual differences, and their manifestation in adulthood.

Problems

The main scientific problems concern their age of onset; whether they increase with age; whether the developmental progression differs for different types of aggression; and whether violent behaviour can be traced to influences in early childhood.

Research Context

Most research has been carried out in modern western nations, although some key findings, such as the occurrence of sex differences in aggression early in childhood and the peak of violent aggression in early adulthood, have been confirmed in other societal contexts.^{4,6}

Key Research Questions and Results

Aggression is first seen in infants when they express facial anger. The beginning of aggressive acts against peers is tugging at another child's toy, hitting coming later. An observational study found a large sex difference for "grabbing another child's toy" at 27 months. Large-scale longitudinal studies show higher levels of physical aggression for boys at 17 months and at 2 years, based on mothers' reports. See early sex differences occur before the children have been subject to the socialization agents that are, in some accounts, held to cause the differences. Overall, there is not an increasing magnitude of sex difference in aggression as the child becomes older.

Physical aggression typically declines from its peak between 2-4 years, to be replaced by alternative ways of resolving conflicts. Both sexes show the decrease, although the early sex difference is maintained through childhood and into adulthood. Of more practical concern are those children who display unusually high levels of physical aggression. Large-scale longitudinal studies show that for around 10% of the sample, the early high level of physical aggression is maintained until 11 years or older. These are mostly boys: Yet most boys are not in this group. In contrast, just over a third of the sample shows very little physical aggression throughout childhood, and most of these are girls. Studies of young adults show a wider variation among men than women, and that there are proportionately more men than women committing dangerous acts of violence.

Along with the decline in physical aggression with age, there are two other important developmental changes, first an increase in non-physical forms of aggression; and second, the increasing seriousness of physical aggression when it does occur.

Verbal aggression includes threatening actions that accompany physical aggression, and arguments and verbalput-downs whose aim is to denigrate the other's social standing. ¹⁴ These tend to have their specific forms in boys and girls that fit the differences between their social groups and what is held to be important in these. Bearing in mind these differences, face-to-face verbal aggression tends to be more common in boys than girls, from early in life, to adulthood. ^{6,7}

Indirect verbal aggression is more common in girls than in boys. Finnish studies involving peer reports found that indirect aggression peaked between ages 11 to 17 years, and girls' higher involvement than boys increases from middle childhood to 17 years. Longitudinal studies using mothers' reports show that overall, while physical aggression decreases during childhood, indirect aggression increases, although a majority of the sample have consistently low levels. Girls show a greater overall tendency than boys to increasingly use indirect aggression with age from 4 to 8 years. When looked at in terms of the joint amount of indirect and direct aggression, girls are more likely than boys to show high indirect aggression together with low or medium declining physical aggression; boys are more likely to have low indirect aggression together with medium declining physical.

Although physical aggression shows a decline with age, its severity – in terms of the injuries inflicted – increases, to a peak in late teenage and early adult years, as assessed by violent crime and homicide statistics. This peak is almost entirely male, both in terms of its perpetrators and its victims. These violent crimes have their roots in influences that begin at conception and continue thereafter, making it more likely that the individual will follow a violence-prone pathway.

Serious forms of violence begin to decline in the late twenties, as do other forms of physical aggression, and continue thereafter, with the sex difference maintained into middle life. There are few studies of aggression in old age, although what evidence there is indicates that the typical sex difference in physical aggression is still found at ages 65 to 96 years. 23-25

Research Gaps

There is no definitive answer to the extent to which early sex differences are dependent on prenata *androgens*. ²⁶ Although there are some studies of mediators of sex differences in aggression ²⁷⁻²⁸ these are relatively limited.

Conclusions

Sex differences in physical aggression are found early in childhood, and are maintained through childhood into adulthood. There is a smaller difference for verbal aggression. Girls show more indirect aggression throughout childhood, in particular in adolescence. These overall differences hide specific groups, for example a persistently aggressive group that contains a higher proportion of boys and a consistently non-aggressive group that contains a higher proportion of girls.

Implications

The early development of sex differences in aggression implies that they are not the result of socialization influences. A few particularly aggressive boys contribute disproportionately to problem behaviour in schools, and girls' higher level of indirect aggression has a negative impact on social life in schools.

- 1. Courtwright DT. Violent land: Single men and social disorder from the frontier to the inner city. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1996.
- 2. Daly M, Wilson M. Killing the competition: Female/female and male/male homicide. Hum Nat. 1990;1(1):81-107.
- 3. Quetelet A. Recherches sur le penchant au crime aux différents âges. Bruxelles: M. Hayez; 1833 (Trans by S.F. Sylvester as Research on the Propensity for Crime at Different Ages, Cincinnati, Ohio: Anderson; 1984).
- 4. Eisner M. Long-term historical trends in violent crime. In: Tonry M, eds. *Crime and Justice: A Review of Research. Volume 30.* Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 2003: 83-142.
- 5. Hirschi T, Gottfredson M. Age and the explanation of crime. Am J Sociol 1983;89 (3):552-584.
- 6. Archer J. Sex differences in aggression in real-world settings: A meta-analytic review. Rev Gen Psychol. 2004;8(4):291-322.
- 7. Archer J. Does sexual selection explain human sex differences in aggression? Behav Brain Sci. 2009;32(3):249-311.
- 8. Tremblay RE, Japel C, Perusse D, et al; The search for the age of 'onset' of physical aggression: Rousseau and Bandura revisited. *Crim Behav Ment Health.* 1999;9(1):8-23.
- 9. Tremblay RE. Developmental origins of disruptive behaviour problems: the 'original sin' hypothesis, epigenetics and their consequences for prevention. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2010;51(4):341-367.
- 10. Hay DF, Ross HS. The social nature of early conflict. Child Dev. 1982(1);53:105-113.
- 11. Hay DF, Castle J, Davies L. Toddlers' use of force against familiar peers: A precursor of serious aggression? *Child Dev.* 2000;71(2):457-467.
- 12. Campbell A, Shirley L, Caygill L. (2002). Sex-typed preferences in three domains: Do two-year-olds need cognitive variables? *Br J Psychol.* 2002;93(2):203-217.
- 13. Baillargeon RH, Zoccolillo M, Keenan, K, et al; Gender differences in physical aggression: A prospective population-based survey of children

- before and after 2 years of age. Dev Psychol. 2007;43(1):13-26.
- Archer J, Côté S. Sex differences in aggressive behavior: A developmental and evolutionary perspective. In: Tremblay R, Hartup WW, Archer J, eds. Developmental origins of aggression. New York: Guilford; 2005: 425-443.
- 15. Tremblay RE., Côté S. Development of sex differences in physical aggression: The maternal link to epigenetic mechanisms. *Behav Brain Sci.* 2009;32(3):290-291.
- 16. Archer J, Mehdikhani M. (2003). Variability among males in sexually-selected attributes. Rev Gen Psychol. 2003;7(3):219-236.
- 17. Archer J, Coyne SM.. An integrated review of indirect, relational, and social aggression. Pers Soc Psychol Rev. 2005;9(3):212-230.
- 18. Björkqvist K, Lagerspetz KMJ, Kaukiainen A. Do girls manipulate and boys fight? Developmental trends in regard to direct and indirect aggression. *Aggress Behav.* 1992:18(2):117-127.
- 19. Bjorkqvist K, Österman K, Kaukiainen A. The development of direct and indirect aggressive strategies in males and females. In: Bjorkqvist K, Niemela P, eds. *Of mice and women: Aspects of female aggression.* San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 1992: 51-64.
- Vaillancourt T, Brendgen M, Boivin M, Tremblay RE. A longitudinal confirmatory factor analysis of indirect and physical aggression: Evidence
 of two factors over time. Child Dev. 2003;74(6):1628-1638.
- 21. Vaillancourt T, Miller J, Fagbemi J, Côté S., Tremblay RE. T trajectories and predictors of indirect aggression: Results from a nationally representative longitudinal study of Canadian children aged 2-10. Aggress Behav. 2007;33(4):314-326.
- 22. Côté S., Vaillancourt T, Barker T, Nagin D, Tremblay RE. The joint development of physical and indirect aggression: Predictors of continuity and change during childhood. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2007;19(1):37-55.
- 23. Morales-Vives F, Vigil-Colet A. Are there sex differences in physical aggression in the elderly? Pers Individ Dif. 2010;49(6):659-662.
- 24. Walker S, Richardson DS, Green LR. Aggression among older adults: The relationship of interaction networks and gender role to direct and indirect responses. *Aggress Behav*.2000;26(2):145-154.
- 25. Vigil-Colet A, Lorenzo-Seva U, Codorniu-Raga MJ, et al; Factor structure of the Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire in different samples and languages. *Aggress Behav*.2005;31(6):601-608.
- 26. Hines M. Sex-related variation in human behaviour and the brain. Trends Cogn Sci. 2010;14(10):448-456.
- 27. Moffitt T, Caspi A, Rutter M, Silva PA. Sex differences in antisocial behaviour: Conduct disorder, delinquency and violence in the Dunedin Longitudinal Study. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2001.
- 28. Campbell A, Muncer S. Can 'risky' impulsivity explain sex differences in aggression? Pers Individ Dif. 2009;47(5):402-406.

Development of Physical Aggression from Early Childhood to Adulthood

Kate Keenan, PhD
University of Chicago, USA
January 2012

Introduction

Preschoolers who have not successfully developed age-appropriate strategies for regulating aggressive behaviour are at high risk for engaging in chronic aggressive and antisocial behaviour. Aggression co-occurs with several common problems in early childhood including impulsivity, emotion dysregulation and language delays. Exactly how these other problems interact with aggression is still under investigation. Aggression may be worsened by these co-occurring problems in some children. In other children, deficits in these other areas of functioning may have preceded the difficulties with aggression.

Subject

Major developments in cognitive and social-emotional domains occur during early childhood. Regarding cognitive development, the emergence of increasingly sophisticated verbal skills, self-awareness and goal-directed behaviour contribute to a strong push for independence on the part of the child. Simultaneously, parents begin to impose rules and limits, both in response to the child's newfound autonomy and as a natural part of the socialization process. Clashes between the child's self-assertions and a parent setting limits lead to more frequent episodes of frustration and upset. Thus, some aggressive behaviour in response to frustration is fairly common early in life. Emerging skills appear to influence the trajectory of early aggression. For example, a child's increasing ability to regulate attention and negative emotions, inhibit impulsive responding, and draw on social communication to resolve conflict or express needs provide a foundation for utilizing behaviours other than aggression in response to frustration, anger, fear, etc. Assessing a child's developmental skill set is important for determining whether delays in other areas of functioning should be addressed.

Problems

Defining atypical aggression during the preschool years has been controversial. This is in part because of the fear of using labels or concepts that are developmentally inappropriate. Aggression has been broadly defined in the developmental and abnormal psychology literature, resulting in a set of behaviours that range from typical and adaptive to atypical and maladaptive. We now know that young children who are manifesting high levels of aggression are at high risk for continued problem behaviour and are in need of services. Aggressive behaviour, however, can reflect deficits in a number of areas and can be exacerbated by co-occurring problems. For example, delays in language development may impede communication of needs, impair the socialization of empathy and emotion regulation, and negatively impact peer relations. In fact, reducing problems with

aggression in the context of a developmental delay would require interventions targeted at the delay, not simply at reducing the aggressive behaviour.

Key Research Questions

Aggressive behaviour emerges early,³ and even these early forms can persist and become problematic.² Moreover, high levels of aggression occurring as early as the toddler period, is predictive of later disruptive behaviour disorders.⁴ As a result of these findings, a greater appreciation has been developed for the capacity of studies of chronic aggression in young children to inform research on the causes of serious aggression. The first five years of life is a period during which deficits emerge that may be critical to establishing a foundation for persistent aggressive behaviour.⁵ Multiple domains of functioning likely influence the course of aggressive behaviour including dysregulated emotion, inattention, impulsivity and other developmental delays, particularly in the domain of social communication. Characterization of problems that co-occur with aggression is a key research agenda.

Recent Research Results

Recently data have been accumulating that demonstrate longitudinal associations between early aggression and deficits in emotion regulation, impulsivity and hyperactivity, and language development.

Emotion dysregulation can be assessed as early as the first year of life via observations or questionnaires. In a small longitudinal study, maternal reports of infant distress on a temperament measure at 6 months were associated with ratings of aggressive behaviour at age 2½ years.⁶

Dysregulated emotion assessed during the toddler period was independently associated with aggression during preschool. Moreover, the combination of these characteristics accounted for stability of toddler to preschool aggression: a significant relation existed between toddler aggression and preschool-age aggression only for those toddlers who were least able to regulate their emotions.

Deficits in impulse control and problems with overactivity also can be measured relatively early in life. Often, however, the impact of such behaviours on young children's functioning is not fully realized until they are in a school environment. Ostrov and Godleski⁸ asked teachers to rate impulsivity and hyperactivity and conducted observations of aggression in a sample of preschool girls and boys. They found that teacher ratings of impulsivity and hyperactivity in the beginning of the school year were associated with observed physical aggression four months later, even after controlling for the level of aggression observed earlier in the school year. Therefore, problems with impulsivity and hyperactivity appear to increase the likelihood that aggressive behaviour will continue.

Finally, language development had been examined as both a consequence and a predictor of aggressive behaviour. Seguin and colleagues⁹ found that children who engaged in high levels of aggression from 17-41 months were more likely to have language delays as preschoolers than their peers. An observational study of preschool boys with and without language impairments demonstrated that boys with language problems were more likely to engage in aggression during conflicts and had more difficulty re-engaging in play after an aggressive conflict.¹⁰

Research Gaps

Two areas of research are still in early stages of development. The first is the understanding sex differences in early aggression. Numerous studies demonstrate sex differences in the continuity of early aggression. Research on sex differences in the characterization of co-occurring problems with aggression will contribute to the ability to propose causal models of chronic aggression across development. One example of such a study is by Hill and colleagues of more than 400 preschool girls and boys from ages 2-5 years. Poor emotion regulation and inattention at age 2 were important predictors of chronic and clinically significant levels of aggression and defiance for girls, whereas inattention was a predictor for boys.

The second area is identifying subgroups of aggressive children who demonstrate specific patterns of cooccurring behaviours and corresponding alterations in biological systems. For example, heart rate and skin conductance have been used to differentiate subtypes of aggression that demonstrate different patterns of cooccurring problems in older children.¹³ Testing such hypotheses in younger children may help disentangle whether the autonomic arousal is a cause or an effect of aggression.

Conclusions

Aggression develops early in life and in most cases demonstrates a gradual decline over the first five years of life. Most children learn to inhibit aggressive behaviours, by drawing on other skills that emerge during that time. Some young children engage in aggression that is pervasive, frequent and severe. Aggression that emerges and persists during the first five years of life is impairing and associated with later mental disorders, poor social outcomes, and accumulation of deficits. Other areas of problem functioning are more likely to occur in the context of persistent and high aggressive behaviour including language problems, impulsivity, hyperactivity, poorly regulated negative emotions and defiance. Although the direction of effect (i.e., which problem came first) isn't yet known, the co-occurrence argues for a comprehensive assessment of developmental functioning when concerns about early aggressive behaviour arise.

Implications

Although the first five years of life is a period of risk for the development of persistent problems with aggression, this same period can be viewed as the optimal opportunity for supporting the development of emotional and behavioural regulation and communication to increase the probability of healthy social development.

Developmental progression along cognitive, emotional, behavioural and social domains should be assessed systematically and regularly throughout the first five years of life. Because of the interrelatedness of each of these domains on the acquisition of prosocial skills, delays in one dimension could affect development in others, resulting in an accumulation of deficits. The encouragement of use perspective taking, emotion and

behavioural regulation, delay of gratification, and effortful control are associated with declines in aggression. Therefore, significant delays or deficits in the basic psychological processes that support these areas of growth will impede the normal decline in aggression observed over the first five years of life. Any effective intervention for aggression will require an assessment of deficits across domains, and additional supports to address such deficits.

- 1. Campbell, S. B. (1995). Behavior problems in preschool children: A review of recent research. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 36, 113-149.
- 2. Tremblay, R. E., Japel, C., Perusse, D., Boivin, M., Zoccolillo, M., Montplaisir, J. & McDuff, P. (1999). The search for age of "onset" of physical aggression: Rousseau and Bandura revisited. *Criminal Behavior and Mental Health*, 9, 8-23.
- 3. Landry, S. & Peters, R.D. (1992). Toward an understanding of a developmental paradigm for aggressive conduct problems during the preschool years. In R.D. Peters, R.J. McMahon & V.L. Quinsey (Eds.), *Aggression and violence throughout the life span* (pp. 1-30). Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- 4. Keenan, K., Shaw, D.S., Delliquadri, E., Giovannelli, J. & Walsh, B. (1998). Evidence for the continuity of early problem behaviors: Application of a developmental model. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 26, 443-454.
- 5. Keenan, K. (2001). Uncovering preschool precursors to problem behavior. In R. Loeber & D.P. Farrington (Eds.), *Child delinquents*, (pp 117-136), Newberry Park, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- 6. Crockenberg SC, Leerkes EM, Bárrig Jó PS. (2008). Predicting aggressive behavior in the third year from infant reactivity and regulation as moderated by maternal behavior. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20, 37-54.
- 7. Rubin, K.H., Burgess, K.B., Dwyer, K.M. & Hastings, P.D. (2003). Predicting preschoolers' externalizing behaviors from toddler temperament, conflict, and maternal negativity. *Developmental Psychology*, 39, 164-76.
- 8. Ostrov, J.M. & Godleski, S.A. (2009). Impulsivity-hyperactivity and subtypes of aggression in early childhood: An observational and short-term longitudinal study. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 18, 477-83.
- 9. Séguin JR, Parent S, Tremblay RE, Zelazo PD. (2009). Different neurocognitive functions regulating physical aggression and hyperactivity in early childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 50, 679-87.
- 10. Horowitz L, Westlund K, Ljungberg T. (2007). Aggression and withdrawal related behavior within conflict management progression in preschool boys with language impairment. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 38, 237-53.
- 11. Keenan, K. & Shaw, D. (1997). Developmental and social influences on young girls' early problem behavior. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 95-113.
- 12. Hill, A.L., Degnan, K.A., Calkins, S.D. & Keane, S.P. (2006). Profiles of externalizing behavior problems for boys and girls across preschool: The roles of emotion regulation and inattention. *Developmental Psychology*, 42, 913-28.
- 13. Scarpa, A., Haden, S.C. & Tanaka, A. (2010). Being hot-tempered: autonomic, emotional, and behavioral distinctions between childhood reactive and proactive aggression. *Biological Psychology*, 84, 488-96.

Best Practices in the Development of Effortful Control in Early Childhood

M. Rosario Rueda, PhD, Lina M. Cómbita, MA

Departamento de Psicología Experimental, Universidad de Granada, Spain January 2012

Introduction

Effortful control (EC) is a dimension of temperament related to the self-regulation of emotional reactivity and behaviour. EC allows increased control over action and adjustment to situational demands in a flexible and willful manner. The concept includes aspects related to attention, including the ability to voluntarily move, focus and sustain attention as needed, and behavioural regulation, which includes both inhibitory control of action (not eating a candy) as well as activation control (eating a fruit instead). From very early in life, children greatly differ in their EC abilities. During infancy caregivers provide much of control over children behaviour and it is not until the end of the first year of life that early forms of self-regulation start to develop. Subsequently, the capacity for effortful control increases markedly in the preschool years and may continue to develop into adulthood. However, despite the progressive development due to maturation, EC appears to show within-subject stability from toddlerhood through preschool and into early school age years.

Subject

Given its role in emotion regulation and adjustment, EC is considered an important contributor to the socio-emotional development of the child. When experiencing negative emotions it is useful to use attention in order to shift thoughts away from the source of distress. It can also be helpful to use inhibitory control to stop aggressive impulses or mask the expression of negative emotion when needed. Finally, it can also be good to use activation control to take actions that may ameliorate the situation. The same range of abilities may help in a variety of situations in which regulation is required. Many of these situations in children's lives happen at school, and it has been shown that EC is an important predictor of academic achievement and social adjustment at school. ⁵⁻⁷

Individual differences in EC are related to aspects of cognition such as theory of mind (i.e., knowing that people's behaviour is guided by their mental state, which includes beliefs, desires and knowledge). There is also evidence showing that EC plays an important role in the development of conscience, which involves the interplay between experiencing moral emotions (i.e., guilt/shame or discomfort following transgressions) and behaving morally, in a way that is compatible with rules and social norms. Besides, children who are high in EC appear to be more able to display empathy toward other's emotional states and pro-social behaviour. EC is thought to provide the attentional flexibility required to link emotional reactions (both positive and negative) in oneself and others with internalized social norms and action in everyday situations.

Problems

Poor regulatory abilities often place the child at risk of developing pathologies such as disruptive behaviour problems or ADHD. In relation to behaviour problems, it is important to distinguish between reactive aggression (emotionally-driven conduct problems) and proactive aggression (unprovoked, unemotional aggression that is used for personal gain or to influence and coerce others). EC shows a consistent negative correlation with behaviour problems based on reactive aggression but not so much on proactive aggression. Across cultures, it has been shown that children who show high levels of emotional reactivity, either in a surge-approaching (e.g., impulsivity, sensation and reward-seeking) or a negative (e.g., anger and frustration) mode or both, often show externalizing behaviour problems when having poor EC abilities. Conversely, children with covert proactive behaviour problems such as stealing do not always exhibit self-regulation difficulties. Aspects of the home environment are also important in the development of behaviour problems. In fact, a direct relationship between positive parenting (warmth/positive expressivity) and low levels of externalizing behaviour problems has been established. Nevertheless, this relationship appears to be mediated by children's EC, meaning that positive parenting is facilitated when children show more regulated behaviour.

Research Context

EC is often measured with parents, teachers or with self-reported questionnaires. These are made up of questions about children's reactions to everyday situations on the variety of dimensions included in the definition of EC (focusing and shifting attention, inhibitory control and activation control). It can also be measured with tasks designed to elicit temperament-related reactions (i.e., receiving an undesired gift) in the laboratory, or by means of direct observations in naturalistic settings. In addition, given the conceptual link between EC and attention, experimental tasks often used to measure attentional control are also utilized to measure individual differences in self-regulatory abilities. ¹² Such tasks usually require resolving conflict between stimuli and/or responses. One example of this type of task is the Flanker task. In this task, a target stimulus is surrounded by irrelevant stimulation that can either match or conflict with the response required by the target. When distracting stimulation conflict with the correct response, the time to respond is delayed with respect to when the distracting information matches the target response (there is no conflict). This delay in reaction time can be used as an index of efficiency of attentional control (larger delays indicate poorer control of the distracting stimulation). Performance of conflict tasks in the laboratory have been empirically linked to aspects of children's EC in naturalistic settings. Children who are relatively less affected by conflict receive higher parental ratings of EC and higher scores on laboratory measures of inhibitory control. 12 Moreover, using experimental tasks is particularly effective when it comes to understanding the brain basis underlying children's control skills, because the child can perform those tasks while neural activation is registered with brain imaging techniques. It has been shown that a brain network including the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and lateral prefrontal cortex areas, mostly modulated by the neurotransmitter dopamine, subserves the function of regulating thoughts, emotions and responses. 13 Patterns of activation of these brain structures are related to the efficiency of resolving conflict¹⁴ and variations in the size and structure of the ACC have been related to the EC score obtained in temperament questionnaires. 15

Key Research Questions

Key research questions that are currently addressed in relation to EC are about the genetic and experiential

factors that may influence individual differences in EC and its development. One important question is whether the regulatory abilities central to EC are subject to intervention, and if so, what are the educational practices, whether provided at home or at school, more likely to potentiate children's EC.

Recent Research Results

From early models, temperament has been thought to have a constitutional basis. Recent evidence is showing that polymorphic variation in dopamine-related genes is associated to individual differences in EC and attentional control. However, the relevance of the biological endowment for EC does not mean that this ability cannot be influenced by experience. Computer-based training programs targeting attention focusing and control has proven to enhance efficiency of the brain attention system in young children as well as reasoning capacities. 14 It has also been shown that classroom curricula that emphasize regulation and executive functions skills, such as Tools of the Mind, ¹⁷ improves children's cognitive control. ¹⁸ But home environment is also important. Aspects of parent-child relationships such as attachment security, early positive mutuality, warmth, responsiveness and discipline have been shown to play a role on the development of regulatory abilities. Recent evidence suggests that autonomy support (i.e., offering children age-appropriate problem-solving strategies and providing opportunities to use them) is the strongest predictor of children performance on cognitive control tasks. 19 In children who are more likely to display externalizing behaviour problems, it has been shown that the use of gentle discipline (i.e., giving commands and prohibitive statements in a positive tone) by parents results in the development of greater EC, whereas the use of reasoning explanations and redirections in neutral tone is associated to poorer EC later on. ²⁰ In line with this, other studies have shown that positive parental control can buffer the risk of developing externalizing behavioural problems in children low in EC.²¹ A similar result is also found for teacher-child relationships. Supportive teaching appears to safeguard the risk of academic failure in children who are low in EC.22

Research Gaps

Since the entire human genome sequencing a decade ago, lots of research efforts have been devoted to understanding genetics of behaviour and cognition. Variations in a number of genes have been associated with particular developmental pathologies (i.e., VNTR-type 7-repeats *polymorphism* of the *DRD4 gene* is associated with increased risk for developing ADHD). However, it would be worthwhile to explore whether genetic variation interacts with experience to determine patterns of behaviour and cognitive efficacy. Related to this question, recent research suggests that particular polymorphisms, often those linked to risk for pathology, make the individual more susceptible to be influenced by parenting and other experiences. For example, children carrying the 7-repeat variation of the DRD4 appear to benefit more from interventions directed to prevent behaviour problems than those carrying other variations of the gene. Nonetheless, further research is needed on how and to what extent EC skills may be influenced by the interplay between constitution and experience.

Conclusions

Effortful control is a dynamic temperamental dimension determined by a multiplicity of factors including both constitutional dispositions as well as experience. It captures individual differences in the voluntary and effortful regulation of thoughts, emotions and responses. Individual differences in EC are important for a broad range of behaviours that significantly influence children's social adjustment and their success in school. There are strong

increases in this function during early childhood followed by a more progressive development during late childhood and adolescence, as brain processes related to executive control become progressively more refined and efficient. Efficiency of systems of self-regulation is partially determined by the genetic endowment of the individual and is also affected by environmental factors such as parenting and education. Susceptibility to experience provides an opportunity to promote EC by means of appropriate educational interventions. Determining the interventions and experiences most likely to foster EC may serve the purpose of helping children to become successful and happily-adjusted members of society.

Implications for Parents, Services and Policy

Effortful control is a quality that is key to socialization. Children need to develop self-control to resist temptations, stay focused despite distractions, persist to complete tasks even when the reward may take time to arrive, and avoid acting in a way that they might regret, giving considered responses rather than impulsive ones. Evidence shows that improving EC will promote children's adjustment to society and pro-social attitudes, and will help to prevent the development of regulation-related disorders and conduct problems. An important challenge for parents and educators is to provide children with the type of learning experiences that will help them to succeed in this endeavour. Parental attitudes involving secure and affectionate responsiveness toward the child together with discipline and autonomy support appear to promote the development of EC. Also, emerging scientific evidence shows that particular educational experiences support the acquisition of regulatory skills. This type of studies provides an opportunity to turn research findings into curricular improvement.

- 1. Rothbart MK, Bates JE. Temperament. In: *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 3, Social, emotional, and personality development.* 6th ed. NJ, John Wiley & Sons Inc: Hoboken; 2006:99-166.
- 2. Rueda MR, Posner MI, Rothbart MK. The development of executive attention: contributions to the emergence of self-regulation. *Developmental Neuropsychology* 2005;28(2): 573-594.
- 3. Kochanska G, Knaack A. Effortful control as a personality characteristic of young children: Antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Personality* 2003;71(6): 1087-1112.
- 4. Eisenberg N, Spinrad TL, Eggum ND. Emotion-related self-regulation and its relation to children's maladjustment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 2010;6(1): 495-525.
- 5. Blair C, Razza RP. Relating effortful control, executive function, and false belief understanding to emerging math and literacy ability in kindergarten. *Child Development* 2007;78(2):647-663.
- 6. Checa P, Rodriguez-Bailon R, Rueda MR. Neurocognitive and temperamental systems of self-regulation and early adolescents' school competence. *Mind, Brain and Education* 2008;2(4):177-187.
- 7. Eisenberg N, Valiente C, Eggum ND. Self-regulation and school readiness. Early Education and Development 2010;21(5):681-698.
- 8. Kochanska G, Aksan N. Children's conscience and self-regulation. Journal of Personality 2006;74(6):1587-1617.
- 9. Nigg JT. Temperament and developmental psychopathology. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 2006;47:395-422.
- 10. Frick PJ, Morris AS. Temperament and developmental pathways to conduct disorders. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 2004;33:54-68.
- 11. Eisenberg N, Zhou Q, Spinrad TL, Valiente C, Fabes RA, Liew J. Relations Among Positive Parenting, Children's Effortful Control, and Externalizing Problems: A Three-Wave Longitudinal Study. *Child Development* 2005;76(5):1055-1071.
- 12. Rueda MR. Effortful control. In: Zentner M, Shiner R, eds. Handbook of temperament. New York, NY: Guilford Press. In press:

- 13. Posner MI, Rothbart MK. Toward a physical basis of attention and self-regulation. Physics of Life Reviews 2009;6(2):103-120.
- 14. Rueda MR, Rothbart MK, McCandliss BD, Saccomanno L, Posner MI. Training, maturation, and genetic influences on the development of executive attention. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 2005;102(41):14931-14936.
- 15. Whittle S, Yücel M, Fornito A, Barrett A, Wood SJ, Lubman DI, Simmons J, Pantelis C, Allen NB. Neuroanatomical correlates of temperament in early adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2008;47(6): 682-693.
- 16. Posner MI, Rothbart MK, Sheese BE. Attention genes. Developmental Science 2007;10(1):24-29.
- 17. Bodrova E, Leong DJ. Tools of the mind: The Vygotskian approach to early childhood education. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Merrill/Prentice-Hall: 2007.
- 18. Diamond A, Barnett WS, Thomas J, Munro S. Preschool program improves cognitive control. Science 2007;318(5855):1387-1388.
- 19. Bernier A, Carlson SM, Whipple N. From external regulation to self-regulation: early parenting precursors of young children's executive functioning. *Child Development* 2010;81(1):326-339.
- 20. Cipriano EA, Stifter CA. Predicting preschool effortful control from toddler temperament and parenting behavior. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 2010;31(3): 221-230.
- 21. Karreman A, van Tuijl C, van Aken MAG, Dekovic M. Predicting young children's externalizing problems: Interactions among effortful control, parenting, and child gender. *Merrill Palmer Quarterly: Journal of Developmental Psychology* 2009;55(2):111-134.
- 22. Liew J, Chen Q, Hughes JN. Child effortful control, teacher-student relationships, and achievement in academically at-risk children: Additive and interactive effects. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 2010;25(1):51-64.
- 23. Swanson J, Posner M, Fusella J, Wasdell M, Sommer T, Fan J. Genes and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2001;3(2):92-100.
- 24. Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Van IJzendoorn MH, Pijlman FT, Mesman J, Juffer F. Experimental evidence for differential susceptibility: Dopamine D4 receptor polymorphism (DRD4 VNTR) moderates intervention effects on toddlers' externalizing behavior in a randomized controlled trial. *Developmental Psychology* 2008;44(1):293-300.
- 25. Kochanska G, Philibert RA, Barry RA. Interplay of genes and early mother-child relationship in the development of self-regulation from toddler to preschool age. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 2009;50(11):1331-1338.
- 26. Sheese BE, Voelker PM, Rothbart MK, Posner MI. Parenting quality interacts with genetic variation in dopamine receptor D4 to influence temperament in early childhood. *Development and Psychopathology* 2007;19(4):1039-1046.
- 27. Posner MI, Rothbart MK. Educating the human brain. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2007.

Effective Daycare-Kindergarten Interventions to Prevent Chronic Aggression

John E. Lochman, PhD, ABPP, Caroline Boxmeyer, PhD, Nicole Powell, PhD, Alberto Jimenez-Camargo, PhD Student

Center for Prevention of Youth Behavior Problems, The University of Alabama, USA January 2012

Introduction

Societal concern about antisocial behaviours of children and adolescents has increased over the years, in part due to the enormous financial costs of youth crime. Conduct problems (especially among boys) are the most frequent childhood behavioural problems to be referred to mental health professionals. Aggressive and disruptive behaviour is one of the most enduring dysfunctions in children and, if left untreated, frequently results in high personal and emotional costs to children, their families and to society in general. A great deal of research has therefore been conducted to investigate the causes, treatment and prevention of conduct problems, often using models emphasizing risk and protective factors addressing children's social competence and contextual family variables as research and intervention frameworks.

Subject

Longitudinal research indicates that young children who develop disruptive behaviour problems are at an elevated risk for a host of negative outcomes including chronic aggression and conduct problems, substance abuse, poor emotion regulation, school failure, peer problems and delinquency. ^{4,5} Early-appearing externalizing behaviours can disrupt relationships with parents and peers, initiating processes that can maintain or exacerbate children's behavioural problems. Therefore, very early intervention (e.g., in day care, preschool, or kindergarten) can be important in interrupting the potential path to chronic aggression in children who display aggressive behaviour or who are at risk for developing aggressive behaviour. Risk factors for aggression in young children include child, family and environmental factors. At the child level, temperamental features evident in infancy and toddlerhood such as irritability, restlessness, irregular patterns of behaviour, lack of persistence and low adaptability increase the risk of behaviour problems 7,8,9 as do certain genetic and neurobiological traits. 10,111 At the family level, parenting practices including punitive discipline, inconsistency, low warmth and involvement, and physical aggression have been found to contribute to the development of young children's aggressive behaviour. 12 Children who are exposed to high levels of discord within the home and whose parents have mental health and/or substance abuse issues are also at heightened risk. 13 Other important correlates of aggression in children that can contribute to chronic aggression include faulty socialcognitive processes and peer rejection.14

Problem

Early-emerging disruptive behaviour problems tend to be highly stable, can disrupt important developmental processes, and are predicative of negative outcomes in adolescence. Therefore, effective interventions targeting very young children are needed that target malleable risk factors for aggression.

Research Context

Effective day care-kindergarten interventions designed to prevent chronic aggression are essential to the long-term psychological well-being of children between 2 and 5 years age. Although some of the current literatures state that children tend to grow out of or see decreases in externalizing behaviours by early childhood, the research indicates that some children who exhibit sharp increases in aggressive behaviour between 2 and 3 years of age, tend to exhibit stable levels of aggression as they mature. Most of the research which has demonstrated effective school-based aggression prevention interventions has been conducted with children in the elementary and high school years. However, there have been relatively few intervention programs designed for children in the 0-5 age period that have been rigorously researched and shown efficacious for aggression prevention.

Key Research Questions

A key research question is whether psychosocial school-based interventions demonstrated to be efficacious in older children can be translated for use in younger children. In addition, research must demonstrate whether psychosocial interventions can be powerful enough to protect against the numerous risk factors shown to influence early childhood aggression such as low socioeconomic status, poor parental attachment, negative parenting practices, and child temperament and genetic factors, and whether intervention effects are mediated through changes in parenting practices and in children's emerging self-regulatory abilities. Children as young as 36 months have the ability to use metacognitive strategies to alleviate their negative arousal states. As they continue to develop in preschool, their use of regulation strategies becomes more sophisticated. Thus, the use of psychosocial interventions in this age range appears promising.

Recent Research Results

During the prenatal-to-infancy period interventions such as nurse home visitation programs have been shown to reduce children's early emotional vulnerability²³ and decrease later criminal and substance use behaviour among high risk groups,²⁴ although the nature of the specific maternal at-risk factors has varied across studies. In the post-infancy years, early childhood education settings (e.g., day care, preschool, kindergarten) offer an important opportunity to identify at-risk youth and provide prevention and early intervention programming. In the past decade, a number of preventive interventions have been developed and tested for use in early childhood settings to prevent chronic aggression.²⁵

Universal prevention programs seek to prevent child behaviour problems by teaching all classroom students core social and emotional competencies. The Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies (PATHS) curriculum provides weekly classroom lessons and extension activities to improve preschool children's social-emotional awareness and behaviour. In a randomized trial with 246 children in 20 Head Start classrooms, children exposed to the PATHS program had higher emotion knowledge skills and were rated as more socially competent and less socially withdrawn at the end of the school year. ²⁶ When PATHS was implemented along

with a language and literacy curriculum in a separate study in 44 Head Start classrooms, significant reductions in children's aggressive behaviour were also observed.²⁷

Targeted or indicated prevention programs seek to identify children with elevated risk for aggressive behaviour and to alter their developmental trajectories by addressing malleable risk factors. The Incredible Years (IY) Training Program²⁸ was originally developed as a parent training intervention for parents of children with clinical diagnoses of Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Conduct Disorder. Similar intervention programs that have combined parent workshops with simultaneous training program for high-risk 2- to 5-year-olds and their siblings, and with a joint activity time for parents and children, have resulted in decreases in oppositional child behaviours, decreases in harsh punishments from parents, and improvements in the effectiveness of parental discipline.²⁹ IY has subsequently been expanded to include child and teacher components and has been evaluated for use as a prevention tool. Several randomized trials of IY delivered to Head Start teachers and parents³⁰ have produced favorable effects on reducing child noncompliance and negative behaviours and improving parent competence and child prosocial behaviours.²⁸

Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) is another form of early intervention for preschool-age children with aggressive behaviour. PCIT and related interventions³¹ intervene directly with the parent-child dyad.³² Although PCIT has been shown to produce lasting improvements in child and sibling behaviours at home and school, as well as improvements in parenting and parent well-being in a number of university-based treatment studies, it has been adapted for use in preschool classrooms and other community settings.³²

Research Gaps

Despite the emergence of a number of preventive interventions for aggressive behaviour in early childhood settings, a number of key research gaps remain. First, longer-term follow-up studies are needed to better determine whether prevention programs provided in early childhood settings produce lasting reductions in children's aggressive behaviour. Boisjoli and colleagues³³ found that aggressive boys who participated in a multimodal preventive intervention in kindergarten had better high school graduation rates and generally fewer had criminal records compared to control boys at a 15-year follow-up. These findings are highly promising and suggest that additional studies are needed to further document the range of long-term effects of early preventive intervention, as well as to identify the mediating child and parent processes underlying long-term reductions in aggressive behavior. Second, parent training is a critical feature of most preventive interventions for child aggression. However, engaging parents of high-risk youth in such interventions can present a significant challenge. Additional research on strategies for engaging high-risk families and tailoring interventions to fit families' needs, such as the work being conducted on the Family Check-Up,³⁴ is warranted. Finally, future studies are needed to examine aspects of the training process and host systems that affect the ability of early childhood programs to provide sustained and effective use of preventive interventions for child aggression.

Conclusions

Effective daycare-kindergarten interventions must target the known active risk mechanisms that contribute to the maintenance of aggressive behaviour, especially addressing children's self-regulatory behaviours and parents' behaviours. In the past decade, classroom-based research has continued to develop on universal and targeted prevention programs for young children. Universal prevention programs for preschool and kindergarten

settings have demonstrated that teachers can be trained to assist children's social competence. During the preschool years, psychosocial interventions with parents regarding their parenting practices have immediate effects both on parenting behaviours and on aggressive and noncompliant behaviours among children. Several different models of effective parenting programs have been found for the parents of children in this age group, including parent training workshops, group meetings, and coaching during interactions with children. The latter type of parent—child program that involves coaching has been used more in clinical settings or interventions targeting high-risk families than in large-scale prevention services. Such parenting programs have been combined with classroom-based programs focusing on social-emotional development.

Implications

Several key implications are evident for parents, services and policy. First, schools should move from just offering social-emotional learning to children in the preschool settings, to including tightly linked components that offer psycho-education and collaborative problem-solving to parents. Second, following emerging, innovative trends in intervention research with older aggressive children, intervention with preschool aggressive children should become adaptively flexible, while still retaining implementation with fidelity. Research-based interventions in the years ahead are more likely to be based in the identification of assessed risk factors for each family, which will in turn lead to tailored versions of the intervention in which only relevant portions of the intervention will be delivered to address the identified specific risk factors for a particular child and family. Planned capacities to tailor interventions in this way will permit clinicians and preschool and school staff to readily adapt interventions, and this will likely be especially evident for parent-based interventions. Third, programs offered to parents should not only offer behavioural parent training designed to enhance parents' rewards and antecedent and consequential control of children's behaviour, but should more broadly and collaboratively address parents' developmental expectations for their children, reinforce children's emerging selfregulation, emotion knowledge and problem-solving skills that are being shaped by the child-focused components of interventions. Parents (and teachers) play a key role in modeling and reinforcing self-regulation strategies. Fourth, preschools should recognize that engaging parents in preventive interventions requires proactive planning, and specialized parent engagement strategies are often necessary. Fifth, the introduction of research-based interventions in typical preschool and agency settings requires careful attention to the intensity of training required for school staff, and to characteristics of the school settings and of the school staff that stimulate implementation of programs with high quality. Finally, in terms of social policy, there is now sufficient evidence to encourage the development of widespread behavioural training programs for parents of preschoolaged children.

- 1. Foster EM, Jones D, Conduct problems prevention research group. The high costs of aggression: Public expenditures resulting from conduct disorder. *American Journal of Public Health* 2005;95(10):1767-1772.
- 2. Matthys W, Lochman JE. Oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder in childhood. Oxford, England: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
- 3. Lochman JE, Wells KC. Contextual social-cognitive mediators and child outcome: A test of the theoretical model in the Coping Power Program. *Development and Psychopathology* 2002;14(4):971-993.
- 4. Campbell SB, Spieker S, Vandergrift N, Belsky J, Burchinal M. Predictors and sequelae of trajectories of physical aggression in school-age boys and girls. *Development and Psychopathology* 2010;22(1):133-150.
- 5. Shaw D, Gilliom M, Ingoldsby E, Nagin D. Trajectories leading to school-age conduct problems. *Developmental Psychology.* 2003;39(2):189-200.

- 6. Patterson GR, Reid JB, Dishion TJ. A social learning approach. IV. Antisocial boys. Eugene, OR: Castalia; 1992.
- 7. Bates JE, Pettit GS, Dodge KA, Ridge B. Interaction of temperamental resistance to control and restrictive parenting in the development of externalizing behavior. *Developmental Psychology* 1998;34(5):982-995.
- 8. Rubin KH, Burgess KB, Hastings PD, Dwyer KM. Predicting preschoolers' externalizing behaviors from toddler temperament, conflict, and maternal negativity. *Developmental Psychology* 2003;39(1):164-176.
- 9. Shaw DS, Owens EB, Giovannelli J, Winslow EB. Infant and toddler pathways leading to early externalizing disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2001;40(1):36-43.
- 10. Arseneault L, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor A, Rijsdijk FV, Jaffee SR, Ablow JC, Measelle JR. Strong genetic effects on cross-situational antisocial behaviour among 5-year-old children according to mothers, teachers, examiner-observers, and twins' self-reports. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 2003;44(6):832-848.
- 11. Kim-Cohen J, Caspi A, Taylor A, et al. MAOA, maltreatment, and gene-environment interaction predicting children's mental health: New evidence and a meta-analysis. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2006;11(10):903-913.
- 12. Stormshak EA, Bierman KL, McMahon RJ, Lengua LJ, the Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. Parenting practices and child disruptive behavior problems in early elementary school. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology* 2000;29(1):17-29.
- 13. Tiet QQ, Bird HR, Hoven CW, et al. Relationship between specific adverse life events and psychiatric disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 2001;29(2):153-164.
- 14. Zelli A, Dodge KA, Lochman JE, Laird RD, the Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. The distinction between beliefs legitimizing aggression and deviant processing of social cues: Testing measurement validity and the hypothesis that biased processing mediates the effects of beliefs on aggression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1999;77(1):150-166
- 15. Campbell S. Behavior problems in preschool children: Clinical and developmental issues. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2002.
- 16. Hill A, Degnan K, Calkins S, Keane S. Profiles of externalizing behavior problems for boys and girls across preschool: The roles of emotion regulation and inattention. *Developmental Psychology* 2006;42(5):913-928.
- 17. Alink L, Mesman J, van IJzendoorn M, et al. The early childhood aggression curve: Development of physical aggression in 10- to 50-month-old children. *Child Development* 2006;77(4):954-966.
- 18. Leff S, Power T, Manz P, Costigan T, Nabors L. School-based aggression prevention programs for young children: Current status and implications for violence prevention. *School Psychology Review* 2001;30(3):344-362.
- 19. Hastings P, De I. Parasympathetic regulation and parental socialization of emotion: Biopsychosocial processes of adjustment in preschoolers. *Social Development* 2008;17(2):211-238.
- Kidwell S, Barnett D. Adaptive emotion regulation among low-income African American children. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly: Journal of Developmental Psychology 2007;53(2):155-183.
- 21. Cole P, Dennis T, Smith-Simon K, Cohen L. Preschoolers' emotion regulation strategy understanding: Relations with emotion socialization and child self-regulation. *Social Development* 2009;18(2):324-352.
- 22. Davis E, Levine L, Lench H, Quas J. Metacognitive emotion regulation: Children's awareness that changing thoughts and goals can alleviate negative emotions. *Emotion* 2010;10(4):498-510.
- 23. Olds DL, Robinson J, O'Brien R, et al. Home visiting by paraprofessionals and by nurses: A randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(3):486-496.
- 24. Olds D, Henderson CR Jr, Cole R, et al. Long-term effects of nurse home visitation on children's criminal and antisocial behavior: 15-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1998;280(14):1238-1244.
- 25. ?tefan C, Miclea M. Prevention programmes targeting emotional and social development in preschoolers: Current status and future directions. *Early Child Development and Care* 2010;180(8):1103-1128.
- 26. Domitrovich C, Cortes R, Greenberg M. Improving young children's social and emotional competence: A randomized trial of the preschool 'PATHS' curriculum. *The Journal of Primary Prevention* 2007;28(2):67-91.
- 27. Bierman K, Domitrovich C, Gill S, et al. Promoting academic and social-emotional school readiness: The Head Start REDI program. Child Development 2008;79(6):1802-1817.
- 28. Webster-Stratton C, Reid JM. The Incredible Years Parents, Teachers and Child Training Series: A multifaceted treatment approach for young children with conduct problems. In: Weisz JR, Kazdin AE, eds. *Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents*. 2nd

- ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2010:194-210.
- 29. Miller-Heyl J, MacPhee D, Fritz J. DARE to be You: A family-support, early prevention program. *Journal of Primary Prevention* 1998:18, 257–285.
- 30. Webster-Stratton C. Preventing conduct problems in head start children: strengthening parenting competencies. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1998;66(5):715-730.
- 31. Strayhorn JM, Weidman CS. Follow-up one year after parent-child interaction training: effect on behavior of preschool children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 1991;30(1):138-143.
- 32. Zisser A, Eyberg S. Parent-child interaction therapy and the treatment of disruptive behavior disorders. In: Weisz JR, Kazdin AE, eds. *Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents.* 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2010:179-193.
- 33. Boisjoli R, Vitaro F, Lacourse É, Barker E, Tremblay R. Impact and clinical significance of a preventive intervention for disruptive boys: 15-year follow-up. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;191(5):415-419
- 34. Dishion T, Shaw D, Connell A, Gardner F, Weaver C, Wilson M. The Family Check-Up with high-risk indigent families: Preventing problem behavior by increasing parents' positive behavior support in early childhood. *Child Development* 2008;79(5):1395-1414.

Play-Fighting During Early Childhood and its Role in Preventing Later Chronic Aggression

Sergio M. Pellis, PhD, Vivien C. Pellis, PhD University of Lethbridge, Canada January 2012

Introduction

In the last decades, the opportunity for children for freely-occurring play has eroded due to an increase in structured activities (e.g., sports, music, dance lessons) and an increasing intolerance for anything that may be construed as aggression. Because of the risk of accidental injury or perceived opportunities for abusive contact, rough-and-tumble play (RTP) – which comprises both chasing and wrestling – has been the form of play most severely curtailed. In times past, when it was not suppressed, estimates of the amount of freely-chosen play to involve RTP indicate that for children, especially males, is about 10%. Given the concerns for children's safety and the relatively infrequent engagement in RTP, it would seem sensible to ban it from their lives. However, a growing body of experimental evidence with laboratory animals suggests that banning RTP may be counterproductive. RTP appears to provide young animals the opportunity to finely tune their behaviour in a contextually relevant manner with peers and so modify the brain mechanisms that underpin social skills.

What the Research Shows

Obviously, experimentally manipulating childhood experiences to test for the effects of play is not possible. Thus, the strongest experimental evidence comes from studies of rats and monkeys; however, the snippets of information that can be extracted from studies of children are consistent with this research.

Play and the laboratory rat

Once weaned, young rats spend about an hour per day engaged in RTP. Depriving young rats of the opportunity to play over the juvenile period (akin to between 5-11 years of age for children) leads to a wide range of deficits, the core of which involve an inability to attenuate their emotional reaction to novel or frightening situations, and this is associated with social deficits. These deficits are seen in the play-deprived rats' failings to coordinate their movements with those of a social partner – critical for successful sexual union – and in their misreading of social signals – critical to prevent social encounters from escalating into aggression. Crucial to emotional self-regulation and social skills is the ability of the *prefrontal cortex (PFC)* to exert executive control over the options available. Engagement in RTP leads to a modified release of chemical factors in the brain that influence growth, and to anatomically detectable changes in the number and complexity of the cells of the PFC. In the juvenile period, RTP has been shown to affect the development of the PFC, but

socially reared rats, with normal experience of RTP, given damage to the PFC as adults, exhibit deficits in emotional regulation and social behaviour similar to play-deprived rats with intact brains. The causal link between RTP and social competency is thus well established in rats.

RTP and non-human primates

In primates, the causal links are not as established, but the evidence is consistent with that from rats. In monkeys and apes, the lack of opportunity to engage in RTP with peers leads to a reduced capacity for emotional self-regulation and impoverished social skills. Damage to brain areas linked to the PFC can create such deficits in normally-reared animals. The findings with non-human primates, especially apes, also point to the importance of mother-infant play in preparing infants for the rough housing world of peer-peer play, a developmental stepping stone that is important in children, but less relevant in rats.

What is special about RTP?

For RTP to remain playful, it has to be reciprocal. That is, partners have to show the restraint necessary to prevent one of the participants always gaining and maintaining the advantage. Also, RTP can be unpredictable and ambiguous. That is, participants cannot predict when or if they will lose control of the situation, nor how they will regain it. So, if one partner transgresses by being more forceful than expected, a decision has to be made as to whether that partner is abusing the situation or has just been carried away by exuberance. Thus, RTP creates an experiential context that taxes and trains the PFC.

Research on children

Children that engage in more RTP tend to be better liked by peers, over consecutive years exhibit better social skills, and, overall, perform more effectively in the school setting with regard to academic performance.

Although the PFC is not fully developed until the mid- to late-twenties, by exposing young children to playful situations that require the exercise of turn taking, executive function can be improved, which shows that the PFC is amenable to enhanced function even before it is fully mature.

Non-physical play encounters that have many of the same properties as RTP could include exercises, such as asking two children to draw something together – they would thus have to negotiate what to draw, how to draw it and determine what each individual would contribute to the drawing. Such negotiations tax the function of the PFC, as does the monitoring necessary to make sure that the partner does not cheat. Also, like other primates, children who have had positive playful experiences with their mothers and fathers prior to the onset of peer play appear to be provided with important preparation for later peer-peer behaviour. Such children are better able to establish friendships with peers once they begin school.

Implications

There are different degrees of involvement of social skills in different types of aggression. ¹⁵ Lack of suitable social skill enhancement with associated emotional self-regulation could have a negative impact on aggression in at least three ways. First, as indicated by the animal experiments, play-impoverished children may misread social cues and so escalate to aggression. Second, as is also suggested by the animal literature, play impoverished children may have a smaller tool kit of options for convincing peers to cooperate, and so may

resort to aggression to gain some operational advantage. Third, more specific to humans, poor adjustment to the school setting, failure to make friends and poor academic performance may lead to frustration-induced aggression. Finding ways that allow children to gain the experiences that are important from RTP, either through RTP itself, or activities that simulate core experiences from RTP, such as turn taking, may be important to offset later aggression.

- 1. Baines, E., & Blatchford, P. (2011). Children's games and playground activities in school and their role in development. In: A. D. Pellegrini (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Development of Play* (pp. 260-283). Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- 2. Smith, P. K. (1997). Play fighting and real fighting. Perspectives on their relationship. In Schmitt, A., Atzwanger, K., Grammar, K., & Schäfer, K. (Eds.), New Aspects of Human Ethology (pp. 47-64). Plenum Press: New York, NY.
- 3. Pellis, S. M., & Pellis, V. C. (2009). The Playful Brain. Venturing to the Limits of Neuroscience. Oneworld Press: Oxford, UK.
- 4. Goldberg, E. (2001). The Executive Brain. Frontal Lobes and the Civilized Mind. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- 5. Rempel-Clower, N. L. (2007). Role of orbitofrontal cortex connections in emotion. Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 1121, 72-86.
- 6. Pellis, S. M., Pellis, V. C., & Bell, H. C. (2010). The function of play in the development of the social brain. *American Journal of Play*, 2, 278-296.
- 7. Kalcher-Sommersguter, E., Crailsheim, K., Franz, C., & Preuschoft, S. (2011). Social competence of adult chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) with severe deprivation history: I. An individual approach. *Developmental Psychology*, 47, 77-90.
- 8. Kempes, M. M., Gulickx, M. M. C., van Daalen, H. J. C., Louwerse, A. L., & Sterk, E. (2008). Social competence is reduced in socially deprived rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 122, 62-67.
- 9. Pellis, S. M., Pellis, V. C., & Reinhart, C. J. (2010). The evolution of social play. In: C. Worthman, P. Plotsky, D. Schechter & C. Cummings (Eds.), Formative Experiences: The Interaction of Caregiving, Culture, and Developmental Psychobiology (pp. 404-431). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 10. Pellegrini, A. D. (2009). The Role of Play in Human Development. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- 11. Diamond, A., Barnett, W. S., Thomas, J., & Munro, S. (2007). Preschool program improves cognitive control. Science, 318, 1387-1388.
- 12. Denham, S. A., Mitchell-Copeland, J., Strandberg, K., Auerbach, S., & Blair, K. (1997). Parental contributions to preschoolers' emotional competence: Direct and indirect effects. *Motivation & Emotion*, 21, 65-86.
- 13. Lindsey, E. W., Caldera, Y. M., & Tankersley, L. (2009). Marital conflict and the quality of young children's peer play behavior: The mediating and moderating role of parent-child emotional reciprocity and attachment security. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 23, 130-145.
- 14. Paquette, D., Carbonneau, R., Dubeau, D., Bigras, M., & Tremblay, R. (2003). Prevalence of father-child rough-and-tumble play and physical aggression in preschool children. *European Journal of Psychology & Education*, 18, 171-189.
- 15. Kaukiainen, A., Björkqvist, K., Lagerspetz, K., Österman, K., Salmivalli, C., Rothberg, S., & Ahlbom, A. (1999). The relationships between social intelligence, empathy, and three types of aggression. Aggressive Behavior, 25, 81-89.
- 16. Renfrew, J. R. (1997). Aggression and its Causes. A Biopsychosocial Approach. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.